Column Explanations

Column 1: State Parks. Parks are numbered north to south to correspond with the numbering in the document. The twelve priority parks are **bold**.

Note: The California Indian Heritage Center will replace the existing California State Indian Museum.

Column 2: Existing campsites. Data are from the 2006/2007 Parks Statistical Report. All campsites are reported as individual campsites; each group campsite is counted as six individual campsites.

Column 3: Proposed additional campsites. All campsites are reported as individual campsites; each group campsite is counted as six individual campsites.

Column 4: Existing picnic sites. Existing picnic site data are from the 2006/2007 Parks Statistical Report. All picnic sites are reported as individual picnic sites; each group picnic site is counted as six individual sites.

Column 5: Proposed additional picnic sites. All picnic sites are reported as individual picnic sites; each group picnic site is counted as six individual sites.

Columns 6 through 10: Other facilities and services. Symbols indicate the status of trails, angling, boating, interpretation and education and historic sites:

- Existing at park, no additions proposed
- Existing at park, additions proposed
- ▲ Proposed new at park

Notes: "Services" in "Other facilities and services" heading refers to all services such as visitor centers, interpretive signs and school programs. "Trails" refers to hiking, biking, equestrian and Off Highway Vehicle trails.

Column 11: Existing land. Data are from the 2007/2008 *Parks Statistical Report* and District staff.

Note: Old Sacramento SHP existing acres total includes land alongside railroad tracks at SHP.

Column 12: Proposed additional land. Black text indicates State Parks acquisitions; <u>underlined green</u> text indicates transfers.

Column 14: Estimated costs (California State Parks and others). Unless otherwise noted, estimated costs include campsite and picnic site development, visitor center development, land acquisition, habitat restoration and park planning.

Note: For the California Indian Heritage Center and Old Sacramento's California Railroad Technology Museum facilities construction, substantial funding is expected to be raised privately.

Column 15: Estimated additional annual revenues. CSP staff created estimates using Park Operations

standards for calculating revenues. These data were used:

- Existing attendance data for existing or comparable facilities, including the approximate annual number of sites sold and estimated annual days occupied per site
- Existing or comparable fees

Column 16: Related trails and corridors. Parks are linked in networks of recreation by boating trails, heritage corridors and Central Valley segments of regional California Recreational Trail (CRT) corridors. Abbreviations are:

- ADT: American Discovery Trail (CRT)
- BGHC: Black Gold Heritage Corridor
- BT: Boating trails
- CCEC: Cross-California Ecological Corridor
- CDHC : California Delta Heritage Corridor
- CVFT: Central Valley Farm Trail
- EAHC: Echoes of our Ancestors Heritage Corridor
- JUBA: Juan Bautista de Anza National Historic Trail (CRT)
- PCT: Pacific Crest Trail (CRT)
- PET: Pony Express Trail (CRT)
- SJRT: San Joaquin River Trail (CRT)

Column 17: Potential partners for initiatives. Potential partners are groups with interest in initiative proposed at the park. Abbreviations are:

- BLM: Bureau of Land Management
- BOR: Bureau of Reclamation
- CIHCF: California Indian Heritage Center Foundation
- CSRMF: California State Railroad Museum Foundation
- CVFPB: Central Valley Flood Protection Board
- DBAW: Department of Boating and Waterways
- DFG: Department of Fish and Game
- DWR: Department of Water Resources
- KRC: Kings River Conservancy
- NRCS: Natural Resources Conservation Service
- SRT: Sequoia Riverlands Trust
- SVC: Sacramento Valley Conservancy
- TAC: The Archaeological Conservancy
- TNC: The Nature Conservancy
- USACOE: US Army Corps of Engineers
- USFWS: US Fish and Wildlife Service
- WCB: Wildlife Conservation Board
- YLT: Yolo Land Trust

© 2009 California State Parks.

Central Valley Vision Implementation Plan

Table 1. Summary of Initiatives by Park



Hiking at San Luis Reservoir SRA



Paddling at Folsom Lake SRA

This table is part of the Central Valley Vision Implementation Plan document, available at www.parks. ca.gov/centralvalleyvision.

Table 1 summarizes initiatives for existing and proposed state parks in the twenty-year Implementation Plan. For each park, the table shows information on existing and proposed additional facilities and land, estimated costs and annual revenues, trail connections, proposed heritage corridors and potential partners.

See back page for explanations of table columns.

California State Parks
Planning Division
2009



www.parks.ca.gov/centralvalleyvision Email: centralvalleyvision@parks.ca.gov

Table 1. Summary of Initiatives by Park.

See back page for column explanations.



For the Central Valley Vision Implementation Plan, a twenty-year plan to enhance outdoor recreation for a growing population.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15 16	17
Parks, existing and proposed. (Parks are listed from north to south; numbers	Car	npsites	Picn	ic sites		Othe	er facilities	and services			(acres)	-		Estimated additional Related trails a	nd Potential partners for initiatives
match those in the Implementation Plan document. High-priority parks are	Existing		Existing		rails	Angling	Boating	Interpretation	Historic	Existing	Proposed	Restoration		annual revenues corridors	1
in bold .)		additional		additional				& education	site			(proposed acres)			
1. Shasta SHP (pages 18-19)			7		•			•	•	25	<u>167</u>		\$-		BLM
2. Anderson-Sacramento (proposed park) (pages 18-19)		25		50	\blacktriangle	A	A	A			224		\$6,480,250	\$104,684 BT	DFG, City of Redding
3. Big Bend (proposed park) (pages 18-19)		112		125		A	A	A			2,000		\$32,026,443	\$406,475 BT	BLM, WCB
4. William B. Ide Adobe SHP (pages 18-19)			21			•	A		•	4			\$-	BT	
5. Woodson Bridge SRA (pages 18-19)	47	16	4			•	•	•		324	<u>691</u>	176	\$2,071,675	\$12,157 BT	USFWS, DFG, TNC, DWR
6. Bidwell Mansion SHP (pages 20-21)			1		•			•	•	5			\$-		
7. Bidwell-Sacramento River SP (pages 20-21)		55	26	10		•	•	A		349	650	711	\$14,933,775	\$10,329 BT	USACOE, DFG, USFWS, TNC, WCB
8. Lake Oroville SRA (pages 20-21)	691	85	304	30	•	•		•	•	29,447	<u>5,800</u>		\$6,298,287	\$52,277 BT	DWR, BLM, USACOE
9. Clay Pit SVRA (pages 20-21)			2	12				A		220			\$949,557		DFG
10. Butte City (CSP property) (pages 20-21)				10	A	A		A		37			\$708,869	\$9,356 BT	USFWS, DFG
11. Colusa-Sacramento River SRA (pages 20-21)	20	20	8	10	•					338	13	140	\$3,847,490	\$44,099 CCEC, BT	DFG, DBAW, DWR, CVFPB, City of Colusa, TNC
12. State Park at Sutter Buttes (pages 20-21)		150	2	50				A	•	1,785	1,000		\$9,647,563	\$307,356 CCEC, EAHC	Land owners, tribes, USFWS, DFG
13. Woodland Opera House SHP (pages 22-23)								•	•	1			\$-		Yolo County, City of Woodland
14. Blue Ridge (proposed park) (pages 22-23)		50		50	\blacktriangle		A	A	A		1,500		\$9,198,270	\$70,399 CVFT	DFG, BLM, Yolo County, Rumsey Band of Wintun
15. Elkhorn Basin (proposed park) (pages 22-23)		75		75		A		A	A		1,500	1,300	\$28,034,652	\$200,455 CVFT, BT	SVC, YLT, DFG, Wildlands Inc., CVFPB, Yolo County
16. Folsom Lake SRA and Folsom Powerhouse SHP (pages 22-23)	92	80	322			•	•	•	•	19,561		180	\$5,475,085	\$296,014 ADT, PET, BT	SVC, BOR, DBAW
17. Prairie City SVRA (pages 22-23)			52	12				A		2,786			\$949,557		
18. California Indian Heritage Center (proposed park) (pages 24-25)					A			A			67		unknown	ADT, EAHC	City of West Sacramento, tribes, CIHCF, donors
19. Old Sacramento SHP (including the California State Railroad Museum			12							293	<u>10</u>		unknown	ADT, EAHC, PI	T, Private developer, CSRMF, donors
and the proposed California Railroad Technology Museum) (pages 24-25)										1			Φ.	BT	
20. Leland Stanford Mansion SHP (pages 24-25)								_	•	1			\$-	A DT DET	
21. California State Capitol Museum (pages 24-25)								-	•				\$-	ADT, PET	
22. Governor's Mansion SHP (pages 24-25)									•	1			\$1,033,000		
23. Sutter's Fort SHP (pages 24-25)					•				•	6			\$782,000	ADT, PET, EAH	
24. Stone Lake (CSP property) (pages 26-27)		50			•			•		1,090	220		\$-	CDHC, ADT	USFWS
25. Delta Meadows & Locke Boarding House (CSP property) (pages 26-27)		50			A			A	•	472			\$5,394,874	\$79,782 CDHC, ADT, E.	HC Cosumnes River Preserve, BOR, DWR
26. Barker Slough (proposed park) (pages 26-27)	200	20	(0		_	_	_			220	<u>500</u>	500	\$4,552,657	\$57.204 ADT CDIIC D	Solano Land Trust, DFG
27. Brannan Island SRA (pages 26-27) 28. Franks Tract SRA (pages 26-27)	208	30	68	12		•	•	-		329			\$3,198,164	\$57,304 ADT, CDHC, B CDHC, ADT	DWR, DFG DWR, DFG
29. State Historic Park at Cowell Ranch/John Marsh Home (pages 26-27)		200		55		•	•		•	3,663			\$9,180,655	\$367,438 JUBA, EAHC	City of Brentwood
30. Bethany Reservoir SRA (pages 26-27)		50	Q			-	•			609			\$3,222,960	\$137,207	DWR
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	22		50		_	-		_						\$137,207	DWK
31. Carnegie SVRA (pages 26-27)	22	1	59		•	_	-	A	_	5,075	200	100	\$555,000	\$1.45 CC5 PT	C'' (D' DDAIN HEFINE C' : 1 C '
32. Caswell Memorial SP (pages 28-29) 33. Dos Rios (proposed park) (pages 28-29)	6	100	36			•	•		•	258	200 1,600	100 500	\$6,926,645 \$36,374,625	\$145,665 BT	City of Ripon, DBAW, USFWS, Stanislaus County Tuolumne River Trust, USFWS, DFG
	63		50			_	•	A		3,559	1,655		\$22,182,695	\$320,898 BT	Turlock Irrigation District, DFG, Stanislaus County
34. Turlock Lake SRA (pages 28-29) 35. McConnell SRA (pages 28-29)	33		20			•	•			74			\$6,388,151	\$100,743 BT	Turiock Irrigation District, DFG, Stanislaus County
36. George J. Hatfield SRA (pages 28-29)	21		10		•	•	•	-		47	200		\$2,500,000	BT	WCB, NRCS
37. Great Valley Grasslands SP (pages 28-29)	21		10					-		2,826			\$2,500,000	BT	DWR, DFG, USFWS, BOR
	121	200	100	10	_	_	_	-							
38. San Luis Reservoir SRA (pages 28-29)	131		180		•	•	•	•		26,036			\$9,492,750	\$480,035	WCB, DWR, BOR
39. Pacheco SP (pages 28-29)	21		9					•		6,894			\$5,721,404	\$8,599	CalTrans
40. Millerton Lake SRA (pages 28-29)	264				•	•	•	•	•	6,857			\$3,192,975	\$74,093 SJRT	BOR
41. San Joaquin River Parkway (proposed park) (pages 28-29)		15				A	A	A			<u>1,250</u>		\$1,845,380	\$49,447 SJRT	San Joaquin River Conservancy
42. Kings River (proposed park) (pages 30-31)		TBD			A	A	A	A			500		\$1,228,550	\$23,389 BT	KRC, Fresno and Tulare Counties, USACOE
43. Rocky Hill at Exeter (proposed park) (pages 30-31)								A	A		2,300		\$18,471,493	\$23,203 EAHC	TAC, City of Exeter, tribes, SRT
44. Colonel Allensworth SHP (pages 30-31)	15		10		•			•	•	3,715			\$1,033,000	CVFT, EAHC	BLM, DFG, USFWS
45. Tule Elk SNR (pages 30-31)		10	8	10		A			•	984		300	\$7,539,120	\$2,104 BGHC	Kern Water Bank Authority, DWR, USFWS
46. Tejon Ranch (proposed park) (pages 30-31)		TBD			A			A			unknown		unknown	PCT	Tejon Conservancy
	Total o	Total campsites								Total lan	nd (acres)		Total estimated	Total estimated	
	Eviation									Erriotina	Dronocad	Proposed acres	costs	annual revenues	
	Existing	existing Proposed additional Proposed additional Proposed new at park								Existing	Proposed additional	Proposed acres			
TOTALS (all parks)	1,634		1,448							121,194	22,485	3,907	\$271,937,571	\$3,693,129	
''L'	1,001	1,000	2,110	1,010						1=1,171		3,207		7-,0,2	